

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/321,351	MCNEILL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chirag G. Shah	2616	

All Participants:

(1) Chirag G. Shah.

(2) Merle Richman, Reg# 38282.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2-4, 42-46, 48- 53 and 55

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An agreement was reached between the Examiner and Applicant to change via Examiner Amendment the claim language of preamble for claims 2-4; to combine the allowable subject matter of claims 43 and 44 into claim 42; to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claims 50 and 51 into claim 49.